

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,402	10/24/2000	Kurt Jonach	80398.P364	3658
7590 11/05/2003			EXAMINER	
Sheryl Sue Holloway			NGUYEN, CAO H	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire			2173	. "
Los Angeles, CA 90025		DATE MAILED: 11/05/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/696,402

Cao (Kevin) Nguyen

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

2173

Sato et al.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Oct 2, 2003

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final

allow	tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ex ap se	ctensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ctension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply original it in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
_	
3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3. □	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\text{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed invention as represented does not distinguish over the prior art. However, the claimed invention set
4. □ 5. ⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed invention as represented does not distinguish over the prior art. However, the claimed invention set forth to reply upon user itnerface for browsing image as per Swenton. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an
4. □ 5. ⊠ 6. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\text{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed invention as represented does not distinguish over the prior art. However, the claimed invention set forth to reply upon user itnerface for browsing image as per Swenton. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
4. □ 5. ⊠ 6. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\mathbb{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed invention as represented does not distinguish over the prior art. However, the claimed invention set forth to reply upon user itnerface for browsing image as per Swenton. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) \overline{\mathbb{X}} will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
4. □ 5. ⊠ 6. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed invention as represented does not distinguish over the prior art. However, the claimed invention set forth to reply upon user itnerface for browsing image as per Swenton. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
4. □ 5. ⊠ 6. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\text{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed invention as represented does not distinguish over the prior art. However, the claimed invention set forth to reply upon user interface for browsing image as per Swenton. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) \overline{\text{X}} will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-77
4. □ 5. ☒ 6. □ 7. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. ⊠ 6. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\text{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed invention as represented does not distinguish over the prior art. However, the claimed invention set forth to reply upon user interface for browsing image as per Swenton. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) \overline{\text{X}} will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-77
4. □ 5. ☒ 6. □ 7. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)

ART UNIT 2173